

WITH IN A TEXT OF LEGISLATION ORDERS FOR THE KIND OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
Fig. 1. State 2. State of expression for the expression of the	the throughout the control of the	And the second of the second o	
Bernard Schriftenburgereit unsetzung Webnichterneiter wirden werden 1986 (1996) Die Deutschafte der Ausselle und der	र्वा अस्ति । स्व	All the second of the second o	
ा १ हर्स कर्ने । १ जनसङ्घान रही दूर । पुरस्क मार जनजूरी	. भव क्षित्री १८ जिल्लामा । विषय १९४८ । इ.स.च्याची १९४८ च्याची १९४८ ।	Part of the second second	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
Commonwealth was all the second of the secon	ega - Chercysta palenter De e socialistatem con l'estratera	Business of the Committee and	MAILED: 1888 - 1
	INTERVII	EW SUMMARY	Aspect 1
All participants (applicant, applican	's representative, PTO personnel)	The state of the s	of the COMP COMP of the Mayor of the modes with the Mayor Mayor of the Mayor Mayor Mayor of the Mayor
(2) facily (4) to	X3/1 1 2 1 20 X 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	mala de certariada, contro de didicio est. Las (4) — conspanso de didenas de la colorada	
Date of Interview	5.2	THE BOOK TO THE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE STATE OF THE ST	To his father than the control of th
Type: Telephonic Personal	(copy is given to applicant	applicant's representative).	and the control of the second second section of the second
Exhibit shown or demonstration cor			TO BOND WITH MANY STATES AND
			eus in a vere foi dimensión. Comercia de Carta d
Agreement was reached.	as not reached.	n in the state of	the first open and the second of the second
Claim(s) discussed:	The second of the second of the second	The COSE of	र सहर्थन । १ स. ११० म्यूजन (च्यान्त्र)
Identification of prior art discussed:	Phylome !	1977 Administration of the state of the stat	· Machine et
		नेष्य र १०६८ हो। १५ १५ ५५ स्था ११ (१४) स्थापन १५ १५ १५ १५ १५ १५ १५ १५ १५ १५ १५ १५ १५	The factor of the second control of the seco
1 + 10 OPINION +1	will was agreed to it an agreement of the control o	wer dated 4/11/0	in paper #29 are obviousness was o Inthe degision over Kaeadatin viewo
owand chatano	ud was reverse pletely differen rejection of all c	t than the issue	obviousness issue passed that was before the Ban
anound of release	eatment of a hours	(b) In response, man rather than	mammal
1. It is not necessary for applicar	it to provide a separate record of the	ne substance of the interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLU action has are ready been filed, APP SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	en checked to indicate to the contra DE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN	ary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPON	04\ 16 = =================================
2. Since the Examiner's interview rejections and requirements the is considered to fulfill the responsible interview unless box 1 about 1 abou	at may be present in the last Office	tachments) reflects a complet responsion and since the claims are now action. Applicant is not relieved from	u ollowoblo Abia assessina a die

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form. FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96) on the second of the second of

Manual f Pat nt Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must be Made of Record

Arcomplete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in partien to appropriate propriate and the propriate propriate and the propriate pr

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the applicant or the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleas The Lie Company Street Interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Serial Number of the application
- -Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- -Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- -An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed

3/2002 [ran increase awage general was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of americanism of claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the C:971

contrary.)

445, 00, 00

- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview

- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials. 7. 4

*U.S. GPO: 1996-417-381/40538



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

1 1 to 1 to 1 to 1

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
with the first of the second o	nega tanggan ang paggan tanggangan tanggan a		Bern Program (1990) (1990) (1990) 1990 - Marie Landson, Seria (1990) (1990) 1980 - Landson (1990) (1990)
Section Control	and the second second	er i de de la companya de la company	EXAMINER
		A STATE OF THE STA	the spiritual of the sp
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
politica de la forma de la company de la Company		and the second s	91.
eromas kins en liderationetekki i pri slipa ki lidera gefer i debi biserk			and the second s
get now deather puller no spoke Willia.	in i E San Jawa Barana Barana	HVIEW SUMMARY	ament, in a story of my
A PARTY NOW TRUST TO A LOSS OF BUILDING	nt's representative, RTO perso	nnel);	Live that the contract of
``	and the section of the section of	(3)	n 1
(2)	war suff colonial section such as	(4)	
Date of Interview	and was the confidence with a figure of the confidence with a	n i de la compania d La compania de la co	efficiency of the second of th
Type: Telephonic Persona	al (copy is given to 🔲 applica	ant 🔲 applicant's representative).	entropies of the same together
Exhibit shown or demonstration co	onducted: Yes No If y	es, brief description:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	•		
	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Agreement was reached.			
Claim(s) discussed:	gray transport of the first	P. G. C.	
Identification of prior art discussed	of the factor of the state of t	end of the second of the secon	
		in the second se	e de la serie de la companya de la Companya de la companya de la compa
			-+ ·- (-)
Description of the general nature of	TEN WI	eement was reached, or any other comm	nents. The ISSUE of OBVIOR
PVS 00V/8VS -	the treatmen	t of humans. This	s is entirely diff
the issue of a	byjousnessbefo	ive the Board. which	uas aquestion
whether it was	have been ob	war to mide ut	ne teaching of Raci
1	a 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1-0'1	R (Carming of Face)
 	95 of GUSSOW		rdes to arrive az
must be attached. Also, where no	and a copy of the amendments copy of the amendments whic	s, if available, which the examiner agreed h would render the claims allowable is a	vailable, a summary thereof must be
1. It is not necessary for applications in the second of	•	Il argumi	And the second of the second o
THE THOUSE STATE OF BUILDING	all to biodine a separate lervi	ia of the substance of the interview.	
•			ONICE TO THE LACT OFFICE ACTIV
Unless the paragraph above has b IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCL action has are ready been filed, AP SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEV	peen checked to indicate to the LUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF T PPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MO	contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESP HE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 7 NTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO	13.04). If a response to the last Office
Unless the paragraph above has build NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCL action has are ready been filed, AP SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW 2. Since the Examiner's interview rejections and requirements	peen checked to indicate to the LUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF T PPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MOW. ew summary above (including that may be present in the last spons requirem into of the last spons requirem into of the last spons.	contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPO	13:04). If a response to the last Offic D FILE A STATEMENT OF THE sponse to ach of the objections, now allowable, this completed form

FORM **PTOL-413** (REV.1-96)

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance | f Interview must B | Mad | of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview,

§1:133 interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

. § 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability. And Company of the second of the

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures a the Market of the

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

car and account or dominent a milar constanting. I was 1810 to the face of recondance of

The Form provides for recordation of the following inforthation peak name of the form provides for recordation of the following inforthation peak name of the followin

- Serial Number of the application
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of Interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- -An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted

- -An identification of the claims discussed
- -An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the Interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

w. The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record sears. Where the examiner agree to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form with not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview. Includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,

- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the interview Summary

 Some completed by the examiner. Form completed by the examiner.

 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or
- elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.

 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed and the control of the control of the control of the interview unless already described in the interview Stimmary Formidompleted by the examiner.

The sale of the second of the Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR(1,135(c)-)). The second of the application (37 CFR(1,135(c)-)) and the second of the application (37 CFR(1,135(c)-)). The second of the application (37 CFR(1,135(c)-)) and the second of the application (37 CFR(1,135(c)-)) and the second of the application (37 CFR(1,135(c)-)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy, International Grant State of the feet and second at the second at th

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the pointed to him? If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.